6 June 1967
DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
SOURCESMETHODS EXEMPTION 3828
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
DATE 2007

MORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Conversation with Jim Clark and Marty Convisser, BOB

- 1. In a follow-up to my telephone conversation with Jim Clark 5 5 June 1967 regarding TAF funding (See Memorandum of Record) ranged to meet at the Bureau of the Budget for further discussion clarification of the Bureau's position. I outlined what Clark had and in his conversation with me and inquired whether this continued se the Bureau's position. He stated he would modify his position ewhat regarding the responsibility of the Agency to replenish any ... erve release to TAF in equal amounts from the 1968 appropriation pective of program cuts made by the Congress. He advised that are... would hope that Congressional cuts in the 1968 program and not occur and that to the extent reductions were made in the ran: by Congress because of advance funding of TAF from the rve, the Bureau would not expect the Agency to replenish the eve from other program monies. I agreed that this was a onable tack and in complete accord with what the Agency had . Jesteu. Clark also made the point that to the extent Congress cut a rate and from the Agency's programs, he would want it to be or clear that the Bureau would not consider the application of those against replenishing the to the Agency Reserve to et a release to TAF now. I agreed that in our discussions with magrees, should the Director choose to have same, we would urge the point out that we anticipated replenishing the Reserve from copriations for TAF for 7 in 1968. I assured Clark ... cuts made by the Congress against funds other than those budgeted : PAF would not be presented by the Agency to the Bureau as an andet to replenishing a TAF release. The question of whether the gency wished to request a release was left open pending the Director's . cision to discuss the issues with Congress and on Congressional ...ction thereto.
 - 2. Larry Convisser then raised the question of alternatives to slease from the Reserve for TAF. He pointed out that the 303 ammittee had not addressed this question and that he could see no

SECRET Exclude dental to the description and

July: Dishipme

reason why the Agency should not wait until the beginning of Fiscal hear 1968 -- 1 July -- and surge fund TAF by ______ under one continuing resolution on or after that date. I explained that the agency is considering this alternative and that it had been presented and Col. White. I pointed out that whether the Agency went the Reserve reuse or the 1 July route, Congressional committees would have to be carried in either case. Clark found no problem with approaches to largress, but stated that it would be the Bureau's preference that the surge funding for TAF be done after 1 July and under the continuing esolution. He observed that this alternative might be a better way to assure that Congress might not cut funds in the Agency's Congressional Budget now before the committees.

3. In spite of the foregoing, however, Clark did leave open the latermination to go via the Reserve route or the 1 July continuing resolution route to the DCI.

(signed) John M. Clarke
John M. Clarke
Director of Planning,
Programming, and Budgeting

istribution:

Orig - CCS

1 - D/PPB

X- C/BD

1 - CSAB